arXiv:1410.0253v1 [physics.ao-ph] 1 Oct 2014

Deriving the Young–Ben Jelloul model of near-inertial waves by Whitham averaging

J. Vanneste
School of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences,
University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK

October 2, 2014

Oceanic near-inertial waves – internal waves with frequencies close to the local Coriolis frequency f_0 – are strongly influenced by the presence of mean currents. To study this influence, Young and Ben Jelloul (1997) derived an asymptotic model that describes the slow modulation of the amplitude of these waves about their rapid oscillation at frequency f_0. Here we show that this model can be obtained within a variational framework, by (Whitham) averaging the Lagrangian of the hydrostatic–Boussinesq equations over the wave period $2\pi/f_0$. The derivation leads to a variational formulation of the Young–Ben Jelloul model from which its conservation laws can be obtained systematically.

In this note, we derive the Young and Ben Jelloul model (1997, hereafter YBJ) by applying Whitham (1974) averaging to a variational form of the hydrostatic–Boussinesq equations on the β-plane. These equations are obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta \int L \, \mathrm{d}t = 0$$

for the Lagrangian

$$L = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 \right) - \left(f_0 y + \frac{\beta y^2}{2} \right) \dot{x} + \theta z + p \left(\left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{a}} \right| - 1 \right) \right) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{a}$$

and independent variations of the positions $\boldsymbol{x} = (x, y, z)$ (regarded as functions labels $\boldsymbol{a}$ and time) and Lagrange multiplier (pressure) p (see Salmon, 1988, 1998). In the above, the buoyancy θ is a fixed function of the labels which is therefore materially conserved: $\dot{\theta} = 0$.

Consider a background flow, with flow map $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ satisfying $|\partial \boldsymbol{X}/\partial \boldsymbol{a}| = 1$, pressure $p(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ and buoyancy satisfying $\partial_z p = \theta$. When this flow is perturbed, the particle positions can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{a}, t) = \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t) + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t), \tag{1}$$

using a generalised-Lagrangian-mean formulation (e.g. Bühler, 2009). Denoting the background pressure in Eulerian form by $P(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t)$, we write the total pressure as

$$\begin{aligned} p(\boldsymbol{a}, t) &= P(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t) + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t) + q(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t) \\ &= P(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t) + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{a}, t) \cdot \nabla P(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t) + q(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{a}, t), t) + \cdots , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the displacement $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is introduced inside P for convenience, as part of the definition of the perturbation pressure q (cf. Becker and Grimshaw, 1993). The second line omits quadratic and higher-order terms in the perturbation which can be neglected.

We now introduce (1)–(2) into the Lagrangian and expand in powers of the perturbation. Assuming that the background flow is a solution of the hydrostatic–Boussinesq equations, the first-order term vanishes (since the action is stationary for the background flow). To evaluate the next-order, quadratic term, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}\right| &= 1+\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}+\frac{\partial(\xi,\eta)}{\partial(x,y)}+\frac{\partial(\eta,\zeta)}{\partial(y,z)}+\frac{\partial(\zeta,\xi)}{\partial(z,x)}+\cdots\\ &= 1+\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}+\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot((\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{\xi}-(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{\xi})+\cdots,\end{aligned}$$

and that the two contributions of the background pressure simplify according to

$$\begin{gathered}\tfrac{1}{2}P\nabla\cdot((\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{\xi}-(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{\xi})+\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla P\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}=\\ \tfrac{1}{2}\nabla P\cdot((\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{\xi}+(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{\xi})+\nabla\cdot(\cdots)=-\tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla\nabla P\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}+\nabla\cdot(\cdots),\end{gathered}$$

where $\nabla\nabla P$ denotes the Hessian of P and $\nabla\cdot(\cdots)$ a divergence term does not contribute to the Lagrangian. We then obtain the quadratic Lagrangian

$$L^{(2)}=\int\left(\frac{1}{2}\left((D_t\xi)^2+(D_t\eta)^2\right)-(f_0+\beta y)\eta D_t\xi-\frac{\beta U}{2}\eta^2+q\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla\nabla P\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x},\quad(3)$$

where $D_t=\partial_t+\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\nabla$, with $\boldsymbol{U}$ the background velocity satisfying $\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{U}=0$. The background-flow positions $\boldsymbol{X}$ are now denoted by $\boldsymbol{x}$ and used as independent variables. Taking the variations of $\int L^{(2)}\,\mathrm{d}t$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and q yields linearised equations for the perturbation to the background flow.

The main balance for inertial waves is given by

$$\partial_t\xi-f_0\eta=0,\quad \partial_t\eta+f_0\xi=0,\quad \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}=0.\quad(4)$$

To study modulations of such waves, we follow YBJ and let

$$\xi+\mathrm{i}\eta=M_z\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}f_0t},\quad \zeta=-M_\chi\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}f_0t}+\text{c.c.},\quad(5)$$

where M is a complex amplitude and $\chi=x+\mathrm{i}y$ (so that $\partial_\chi=(\partial_x-\mathrm{i}\partial_y)/2$). These satisfy (4) identically for time-independent M. Whitham's prescription to obtain an amplitude equation for M is to introduce (5) into (3), average over the wave phase f_0t, and regard the resulting Lagrangian as a functional of M that is stationary (Whitham, 1974).

We now follow this prescription. Using $\langle\cdot\rangle$ to denote phase average, we compute

$$\langle(D_t\xi)^2+(D_t\eta)^2\rangle=|D_tM_z|^2-\mathrm{i}f_0\left(M_zD_tM_z^*-M_z^*D_tM_z\right)+f_0^2|M_z|^2,\quad(6)$$

$$\langle\eta D_t\xi\rangle=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(M_zD_tM_z^*-M_z^*D_tM_z\right)+\frac{f_0}{2}|M_z|^2,\quad\langle\eta^2\rangle=\frac{1}{2}|M_z|^2.\quad(7)$$

and

$$\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla\nabla P\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle=2\left(P_{\chi\chi^*}|M_z|^2+P_{zz}|M_\chi|^2-P_{\chi z}M_zM_\xi^*-P_{\chi^*z}M_z^*M_\xi\right).\quad(8)$$

Introducing (6)–(8) into (3) and neglecting terms not proportional to f_0 in the first four terms we finally obtain the averaged Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L} &= \int\left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}f_0}{4}\left(M_zD_tM_z^*-M_z^*D_tM_z\right)-\frac{f_0\beta y}{2}|M_z|^2\right.\\ &\qquad\left.-\left(P_{\chi\chi^*}|M_z|^2+P_{zz}|M_\chi|^2-P_{\chi z}M_zM_\chi^*-P_{\chi^*z}M_z^*M_\chi\right)\right)\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Scaling this expression by $\mathrm{i}f_0/2$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L} &= \int\Big(M_zD_tM_z^* - \mathrm{i}\beta y|M_z|^2 \\ &\qquad -\frac{2\mathrm{i}}{f_0}\left(P_{\chi\chi^*}|M_z|^2 + P_{zz}|M_\chi|^2 - P_{\chi z}M_zM_\chi^* - P_{\chi^* z}M_z^*M_\chi\right)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.\end{aligned}$$

This can be written in terms of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and further simplified by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{zz}|M_\chi|^2 - P_{\chi z}M_zM_\chi^* - P_{\chi^* z}M_z^*M_\chi &= \tfrac{1}{4}\left(P_{zz}|\nabla M|^2 - \nabla P_z\cdot(\nabla M^*M_z + \nabla MM_z^*)\right) \\ &\qquad +\mathrm{i}\nabla\cdot(\cdots),\end{aligned}$$

where, from now on, $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$. This reduces the Lagrangian to its final form

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L} &= \int\Big(M_zD_tM_z^* - \mathrm{i}\beta y|M_z|^2 \\ &\qquad -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2f_0}\left(\nabla^2P|M_z|^2 + P_{zz}|\nabla M|^2 - \nabla P_z\cdot(\nabla M^*M_z + \nabla MM_z^*)\right)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}. \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the variation with respect to M^* gives, after simplifications,

$$(D_tM_z)_z + \mathrm{i}\beta yM_{zz} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2f_0}\left(\nabla^2PM_{zz} + P_{zz}\nabla^2M - 2\nabla P_z\cdot\nabla M_z\right) = 0. \qquad (10)$$

This is a slight generalisation of Eq. (3.2) in YBJ, with the form (3.4a) of their bracket. Our derivation makes no assumption of geostrophic balance for the background flow which can be fully three dimensional. In contrast, Young and Ben Jelloul (1997) obtained their equation making this assumption: using the consequences $\boldsymbol{U} = (\nabla^\perp\Psi, 0)$, $\nabla P = f_0\nabla\Psi$ and $P_{zz} = N^2 + f_0\Psi_{zz}$, where Ψ is the mean-flow streamfunction and N is the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, reduces (10) to their Eq. (3.2). Note that improved approximations can be derived by retaining more terms in the horizontal kinetic energy, namely the term $|D_tM_z|^2$, the vertical component of the kinetic energy, and the non-traditional part omitted from the Coriolis term.

The Hamiltonian form of (10) is found by inspection. Let $\mathcal{U} = M_z$, then we can rewrite (10) (with $\beta = 0$ for simplicity) as

$$\mathrm{i}\mathcal{U}_t = -\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{2f_0}\int\left(\nabla^2P\mathcal{U}_z + P_{zz}\nabla^2\int\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{d}z - 2\nabla P_z\cdot\nabla\mathcal{U}\right)\mathrm{d}z = H\mathcal{U},$$

where the second equality defines the Hamiltonian operator. This can be shown to be Hermitian, $H^* = H$, by writing it in the form (3.4b) in YBJ, namely

$$H\mathcal{U} = -\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{2f_0}\left(\int\nabla^2(P\mathcal{U}_z)\,\mathrm{d}z - 2\nabla\cdot(P\cdot\nabla\mathcal{U}) + \left(P\nabla^2\int\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{d}z\right)_z\right).$$

It follows from the Hamiltonian form of the equation that

$$\mathcal{A} = \tfrac{1}{2}\int|\mathcal{U}|^2\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{H} = \tfrac{1}{2}\int\mathcal{U}^*H\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

are conserved:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int(\mathcal{U}^*H\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^*H^*\mathcal{U})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int(\mathcal{U}^*HH\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^*H^*H\mathcal{U})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = 0.$$

The first conservation law, obtained in YBJ, stems from the independence of the Hamiltonian on the phase of $\mathcal{U}$ (symmetry $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathcal{U}\exp(\mathrm{i}\phi)$); the second, which was pointed out by Bühler (personal communication), stems from the time independence of the Hamiltonian. This justifies the terminology of action for $\mathcal{A}$ and energy for $\mathcal{H}$.

YBJ also derived a simplified model, their Eq. (4.7), assuming that the vertical scale of the mean flow is much larger than that of the waves. This simplified model is derived straightforwardly from the Lagrangian (9) by omitting the last two terms (proportional to ∇P_z) and approximating P_{zz} by N^2 to obtain

$$\bar{L} = \int \left(M_z D_t M_z^* - \mathrm{i}\beta y |M_z|^2 - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2f_0} \left(\nabla^2 P |M_z|^2 + N^2 |\nabla M|^2 \right) \right) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Taking variations with respect to M^* yields

$$(D_T M_z)_z + \mathrm{i}\beta y M_{zz} + \frac{\mathrm{i}N^2}{2f_0}\nabla^2 M + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2f_0}(\nabla^2 P M_z)_z = 0,$$

which can be recognised as YBJ's Eq. (4.7) when $\nabla P = f_0 \nabla \Psi$. Rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of $\mathcal{U} = M_z$ gives another version,

$$\bar{L} = \int \left(\mathcal{U} D_t \mathcal{U}^* - \mathrm{i}\beta y |\mathcal{U}|^2 - \frac{\mathrm{i}f_0}{2} \mathcal{U}^* L^{-1} \nabla^2 \mathcal{U} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2f_0} \nabla^2 P |\mathcal{U}|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x},$$

where the linear operator L is defined by

$$LA = (f_0^2 N^{-2} A_z)_z.$$

Variations with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ give YBJ's (4.5) with $\mathcal{U} = LA$. This model conserves the same action as the more general YBJ model, and the energy

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{U}^* \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} + \beta y |\mathcal{U}|^2 + \frac{f_0}{2} \mathcal{U}^* L^{-1} \nabla^2 \mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{2f_0} \nabla^2 P |\mathcal{U}|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Acknowledgements. The author thanks O. Bühler, E. Danioux, W. R. Young and J.-H. Xie for valuable discussions. This research is funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (grant NE/J022012/1).

References

J. M. Becker and R. Grimshaw. Explosive resonant triads in a continuously stratified shear flows. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 257:219–228, 1993.

O. Bühler. *Waves and mean flows.* Cambridge University Press, 2009.

R. Salmon. Hamiltonian fluid mechanics. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 20:225–256, 1988.

R. Salmon. *Lectures on geophysical fluid dynamics.* Oxford University, 1998.

G. B. Whitham. *Linear and nonlinear waves.* Wiley, 1974.

W. R. Young and M. Ben Jelloul. Propagation of near-inertial oscillations through a quasi-geostrophic flow. *J. Mar. Res.*, 55:735–766, 1997.